

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 16-30399

v.

VINCENT FORNEY

MAG. JUDGE ANTHONY P. PATTI

Defendant.

_____ /

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require that Defendant be detained pending trial.

Part I – Findings of Fact

A. Eligibility. This case is eligible for a Detention Hearing (18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)), for the reasons checked below in this Part I A:

☒ (1) Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), upon the government's motion in a case that involves

☒ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of section 1591, or an offense listed in 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; **or**

☐ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death;

or

☐ (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-

904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46; **or**

☐ (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; **or**

☐ (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:

☐ (i) a minor victim, **or**

☐ (ii) the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in section 921), **or**

☐ (iii) any other dangerous weapon, **or**

☐ (iv) involves a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.

☐ (2) Under 18 U.S.C. 3142(f)(2), upon the government's motion or the court's own motion in a case that involves

☐ (a) a serious risk that such person will flee; **or**

☐ (b) a serious risk that such person will obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice, or threaten, injure, or intimidate, or attempt to threaten, injure, or intimidate, a prospective witness or juror.

B. Rebuttable Presumption. A rebuttable presumption for detention exists in this case if reasons are checked below in this Part I B.

(1) Defendant on Release Pending Trial (18 U.S.C. § 3142 (e)(2)): A rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community arises when

☐ (a) Defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), and has previously been convicted of a crime listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or comparable state or local offense; **and**

☐ (b) The offense was committed while Defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state, or local offense; **and**

☐ (c) A period of less than five years has elapsed since

☐ (i) the date of conviction, **or**

☐ (ii) Defendant's release from prison.

(2) Probable Cause Findings (18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)): A rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of the community arises when there is probable cause to believe that Defendant has committed an offense

☐ (a) for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46; **or**

☐ (b) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device in relation to a crime of violence or drug trafficking crime), 18 U.S.C. § 956(a) (conspiracy to kill, kidnap, maim, or injure persons or damage property in a foreign country), or 18 U.S.C. § 2332b (acts of terrorism transcending national boundaries); **or**

☐ (c) listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (federal crimes of terrorism) for which the prison term is 10 or more years; **or**

☒ (d) under Chapter 77 of Title 18, United States Code, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed (i.e., 18 U.S.C. §§ 1581, 1583, 1584, 1589, and 1594)(slavery); **or**

☒ (e) involving a minor victim as listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(E).

Part II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes

☐ by clear and convincing evidence that, for the reasons set forth below, there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure the safety of the community; **or**

☐ by a preponderance of the evidence that, for the reasons set forth below, there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure Defendant's appearance; **or**

☒ both of the above.

Statement of reasons for detention pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3142(i):

The Court's findings and reasons for ordering detention, including its consideration of the factors listed in 42 U.S.C. § 3142(g), were stated on the record at the September 12, 2016 hearing and are fully incorporated by this reference. At that time, the Court found probable cause to believe that the defendant committed the crime of sex trafficking of a minor, or by force, fraud or coercion in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, and that there is, accordingly, a presumption in favor of detention in this case. Defendant has not overcome that presumption. Even if the defendant had overcome the presumption in favor of detention, the Court alternatively finds: (a) by a preponderance of the evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure Defendant's appearance; and (b) by clear and convincing evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure the safety of the community. This evidence was discussed on the record in support of the Court's reasoning, and includes, but is not limited to evidence that: (1) the defendant

encouraged a 16-year-old girl (MV-1) to engage in prostitution, which was promoted through social media, knowing that she was under-age; (2) the defendant physically assaulted MV-1 on more than one occasion in order to coerce her to continue engaging in prostitution and to turn over its monetary proceeds to the defendant, including putting her in a choke-hold, punching her, grabbing her face, pushing her face into a wall, threatening her, taking her telephone and taking her purse; (2) another minor victim (MV-4) reported to task force officers that the defendant had beaten her up and used violence in acting as her pimp; (3) an adult prostitute reported to task force officers that the defendant kicked her and became violent when she told him that she no longer wanted to perform sex acts; (4) a second adult prostitute reported that in August 2016 the defendant took her phone, took her purse and forced her to continue in the sex trade; (5) in July 2015, task force officers encountered the defendant with a 15-year-old girl (MV-3) in a car at the Red Roof Inn motel in Southfield; (6) another female individual – who goes by the name of “Coco,” has engaged in the sex trade herself and has assisted the defendant in arranging sexual encounters involving underage girls – reported that she carried a knife for purposes of defending herself against the defendant; (7) the defendant has a prior conviction for domestic violence; and (8) the defendant has a prior criminal history, including a history of violating probation and at least one failure to appear for court.

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

Defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. Defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of a United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver Defendant to the United States Marshal for a court appearance.

Review of this Order is governed by 18 U.S.C. § 3145 and E.D. Mich. L.R. 57.2.

Date: September 12, 2016

S/ANTHONY P. PATTI

Anthony P. Patti

United States Magistrate Judge